



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,880	03/30/2004	Koji Hirosawa	57810-097	2498
7590 06/13/2007 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			EXAMINER SITTA, GRANT	
			ART UNIT 2629	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/13/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/811,880	Applicant(s) HIROSAWA, KOJI	
	Examiner Grant D. Sitta	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 11-12 and 22-23 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the gate" in line 11 of claim1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner notes other such lack of antecedent basis throughout the claims "The source" (claims 5, 12,16, and 23) also "the junction" (claims 12 and 23). Correction is required where appropriate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. Claims 1-7, 10, 13-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon et al (US 7,106, 292), hereinafter Moon.

7. In regards to claim 1, Moon discloses the limitations of a plurality of stages (fig. 16) of shift register circuits (fig. 16, SRC1, SCR2, SCR3, SCR4) for sequentially driving a plurality of drain lines for supplying a video signal to pixels (Fig. 16, Vdis); Examiner notes while Moon discloses drivers used for rows it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute row and column drivers.

Moon further teaches a first circuit section having a first transistor (Fig. 18 M2) of first conductivity type connected to a first potential (Fig. 18 VOFF) a second transistor (Fig. 18 M1) of first conductivity type connected to a second potential (Fig. 18 VON through M3), and a third transistor (Fig. 18 M6) of first conductivity type connected between the gate of said first transistor (Fig. 18 M2) and said second potential (Fig. 18 VON) for turning off said first transistor when said second transistor is in on state (Fig. 7, M1 and M2 are referred as pull-up part and pull-down part therefore one is in an on state while the other is in an off state. Also see, Col. 15 lines 1-20).

Lastly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Moon to include the use of a plurality of first dummy shift registers arranged on the operation starting side of a plurality of stages of shift register circuits and not connected to a drain line. Examiner notes, that the display area of most LCD displays extend past the edges of the display seen by the user. These edges of the display are concealed by borders, or frames, of the LC display apparatus. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to not have these connected to drain lines.

8. In regards to claim 2, Moon teaches a second dummy shift register circuit arranged on the side opposite to the operation starting side of said plurality of stages of shift register circuits and not connected to said drain line (fig. 16 Dummy stage 0 and dummy stage 1, col. 18, lines 37-6, Moon).

9. In regards to claim 3, Moon teaches wherein a start signal is input to the first stage of said plurality of stages of first dummy shift register circuits (Fig. 16, Dummy Stage 0).

10. In regards to claims 4 and 15, Moon teaches a first transistor (Fig. 18 M2), said second transistor (Fig. 18 M1) and said third transistor (Fig. M6) are a p-type field effect transistor (col. 13 lines, 50-70 "NMOS" or n-channel metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistor). Examiner notes it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Moon's use of the NMOS by having replaced the NMOS with PMOS, or p-type field effect transistors, since applicant has not disclosed that having the PMOS solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the shift registers would perform equally well with the NMOS.

11. In regards to claims 5 and 16, Moon teaches wherein a first capacitor is connected between the gate and the source of said first transistor. Examiner notes it is obvious to one skilled in the art to place capacitors between the gate and the source for multiple reasons, such as to store a charge and also transistor have an inherent parasitic capacitance (col. 13, lines 60-70).

12. In regards to claims 6 and 17, Moon teaches wherein said third transistor (Fig. 18 M6) has two gate electrodes electrically connected to each other (Fig. 18 M4 gate and M2 gate).

13. In regards to claims 7 and 18, Moon teaches wherein said first transistor (Fig. 18 M2) is turned on in response to a clock signal (Fig. 18 STV col. 15, lines 1-20).

14. In regards to claim 13, Moon teaches a plurality of stages of shift register circuits (fig. 16 "Dummy Stage", "SRC1", "SRC2", "SRC3", "SRC4") for sequentially driving a plurality of drain lines for supplying a video signal to pixels (fig.4 col.11-12, lines 40-10); and a dummy shift register circuits (Fig. 16, "Dummy Stage") arranged on at least the side opposite to the operation starting side (Fig. 16. "Dummy Stage 1") of said plurality of stages of shift register circuits and not connected to said drain line (Fig. 16); wherein said shift registers and said dummy shift registers (Fig. 16 Dummy Stage", "SRC1", "SRC2", "SRC3", "SRC4") first circuit section having a first transistor ((fig. 18 M2)) of first conductivity type connected to a first potential (Fig. 18 VOFF), a second transistor (Fig. 18 M1) of first conductivity type connected to a second potential (Fig. 18 VON), and a third transistor (Fig. 18 M6) of first conductivity type connected between the gate of said first transistor (Fig. 18 M2) and said second potential (Fig. 18 VON) for turning off said first transistor (Fig. 18 M1) when said second transistor is in on state (Fig. 7, M1 and M2 are referred as pull-up part and pull-down part therefore one is in an on state while the other is in an off state. Also see, Col. 15 lines 1-20).

15. In regards to claim 14, Moon teaches two stages of dummy shift register circuits not connected to said drain line and arranged on the operation starting side of said

plurality of stages of shift register circuits (Fig. 16 "Dummy Stage 0" and "Dummy Stage 1").

16. In regards to claims 10 and 21, Moon teaches wherein said first circuit section further includes a fifth transistor (Fig. 18 M7) of first conductivity type connected between the gate of said first transistor (Fig. 18 M2) and a clock signal line (Gout[1]) for supplying a clock signal and operated to turn on in response to a signal turned on when said third transistor (Fig. 3 M6) is in off state ((col. 4-5 lines 50-20).

17. Claims 8, 9, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Hebiguchi et. al (US 6,295,046) hereinafter Hebiguchi.

In regards to claims 8 and 19. Moon discloses the limitations of claims 1 and 13

Moon differs from the claimed invention in that Moon does not disclose a diode-connected transistor between the gate of the first transistor and a clock signal.

However, Hebiguchi teaches a system and method for including a diode-connected transistor between the gate of the first transistor and a clock signal (Fig. 7 col. 5, lines 45-55 of Hebiguchi).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Moon to include the use of a diode-connected transistor as taught

by Hebiguchi in order to resist noise interference as stated in (Fig. 7 col. 5, lines 45-55 of Hebiguchi).

18. In regards to claims 9 and 20, Hebiguchi discloses wherein said diode-connected fourth transistor has two gate electrodes electrically connected to each other (Fig. 7 "2" and the gate of the diode-connected transistor).

Allowable Subject Matter

19. Claims 11-12 and 22-23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

20. The cited references have failed to teach Applicant's claimed inventions of claim 11 and 22:

"first circuit section includes a fourth transistor of first conductivity type connected to the gate of said first transistor and operated to turn on in response to a first signal, and a fifth transistor of first conductivity type connected between said fourth transistor and said first potential and operated to turn on in response to a second signal turned off when said first signal is in on state."

Art Unit: 2629

21. The cited references also fail to teach Applicant's claim invention of claims 12 and 23: "wherein a second capacitor is connected between the source of said first transistor and the junction point between said fourth transistor and said fifth transistor."

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure: Washino (6,724,361) is cited for teaching a shift register display device with the driving pulse synchronized with a clock pulse. Lee (5,648,790) is cited for teaching shift register stages with two dummy stages. Pathak (7,167,404) is cited for teaching two dummy stages in a programmable logic device.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Grant D. Sitta whose telephone number is 571-270-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on 571-270-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Grant D. Sitta

May 25, 2007



XIAO WU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER